

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,374	01/30/2004	Masato Minami	1232-5270	7922	
27123 7:	590 01/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			DINH, JACK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Co.	10/769,374	MINAMI, MASATO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack Dinh	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	Loha Ben Primary Examiner				
Application Papers	arar Graenan raqan amam	•				
	iner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment/s)		1.1				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal 6) Other: DETAILED	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1A is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "1c" has been used to designate both the first electrode and the pigment particle. Similar error is found in other drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figures 13A and 13B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities. Claims 1-5 states that the first electrode, the second electrode and the microcapsules are disposed on the substrate. However, the drawing (figure 1A) shows that only the first electrode 1c is disposed on the substrate 1a, while the second electrode is disposed on the insulate layer 1j and the microcapsule 1h is disposed on the second electrode. Appropriate correction is required. The following rejection is based on the broadest interpretation given the aforementioned claim language used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable by Morita et al. (US Patent 6,400,492).

Regarding claim 1, Morita (figure 1A) is interpreted as disclosing an electrophoretic display comprising a substrate 1, a first electrode and a second electrode 50 disposed on the substrate, and microcapsules 4 each, disposed on the substrate, containing a dispersion liquid comprising (see figure 11A) a dispersion medium 104 and two species of electrophoretic particles 102 and 103 different in charge polarity and color, wherein the first and second electrodes are disposed so as to create an electric field along a surface of the substrate and are to

be supplied with a voltage so as to move the two species of electrophoretic particles in mutually opposite directions along the electric field to effect display (see figures 11A-C).

Regarding claim 2, Morita (figure 1A) is interpreted as further disclosing that both of the first and second electrodes are disposed on the surface of the substrate.

Regarding claim 3, Morita (figure 1A) is interpreted as further disclosing that the first electrode is disposed on the surface of the substrate and the second electrode is disposed between adjacent microcapsules.

Regarding claim 4, Morita is interpreted as further disclosing that the colors of the two species of electrophoretic particles are white and black and the display effects white and black display (col. 7, lines 47-65; col. 6, lines 37-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita et al. (US Patent 6,400,492), as applied in claim 1, in view of Choi (US Patent 6,621,541).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,374 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 5, Morita is interpreted as disclosing all the claimed limitations, as described above except for a color filter. Within the same field of endeavor, Choi (figure 2) is interpreted as disclosing the teaching of known color filters **4a-c** disposed above the microcapsules on the viewing side of the display. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the color filters, as taught by Choi, for the purpose of effecting the color display.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Dinh

Loha Ben Primary Examiner